



- Home
- People
 - Faculty
 - Staff
 - PhD Scholars
 - Project Fellows
- Programmes
 - M.A.
 - M.Phil.
 - PhD
- Activities
 - Conferences
 - Seminars, Workshops and Talks
 - Collaborative Seminars
 - DSA Visiting Fellows
 - Refresher Courses
 - International Collaboration
- Publications
 - Yearly Review
 - DSA Occasional Papers
 - Textbooks
- UGC-SAP III
 - Special Assistance Programme
- Centers
 - CATA
 - Centre for Studies in Violence, Memory and Trauma
 - Center for Dalit Studies
- Contact us
 - Committees
 - AdHoc Panels
- Photo Gallery

Dissertation List

- > [Dissertation 2010](#)
- > [Dissertation 2011](#)
- > [Dissertation 2013](#)
- > [Dissertation 2014](#)
- > [Dissertation 2015](#)

Important Documents for Students

- > [MPhil 2015: Research Methodology Course](#)
- > [Beginners' Handout 2015](#)
- > [Ordinance VI-A](#)

Feedback

- [Feedback response – 2016](#)
- [feedback response – averages](#)
- [Feedback response – 2015](#)
- [feedback questionnaire](#)
- [feedback questionnaire 2013](#)
- [Feedback questionnaire – 2016](#)
- [Feedback questionnaire – 2015](#)
- [feedback 2013](#)

MPhil 2017

- [NOTICE FOR SEMESTER I and III](#)
- [Allocation for August to December 2017](#)
- [Res Meth – Groups 2017](#)

 [No Comments](#)

Search...



People

- [Faculty](#)
- [Staff](#)
- [Project Fellows](#)
- [PhD Scholars](#)

Programmes

- [M.A.](#)
- [M.Phil.](#)
- [PhD](#)

Activities

- [Refresher Courses](#)

Seminars, Workshops and Talks

Conferences

Centers

CATA

Center for Dalit Studies

Centre for Studies in Violence, Memory and Trauma

Forthcoming Events

Calendar

Department of English, University of Delhi |

**MPhil Research Methodology 2012
Feedback Questionnaire**

Name: <i>(Optional)</i>

A. Give your responses to the following statements by ticking in the appropriate cell against each statement in the table below. The following scale holds for the numbers corresponding to the responses :

- | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1: strongly agree | 4: disagree |
| 2: agree | 5: strongly disagree |
| 3: neutral | |

	Sl.	Statement	1	2	3	4	5
O V E R A L L	1.	Overall, I am satisfied with the course.					
	2.	The syllabus addressed all the important points.					
	3.	The relative weight given to each component was appropriate.					
	4.	The relative time allocated for each of the components was appropriate.					
	5.	The instructions were clear and unambiguous.					
A R W	6.	The ARW component was well structured.					
	7.	The writing tasks assigned were appropriate.					
	8.	The assignments provided adequate practice.					
	9.	The comments given were useful.					
	10.	More writing exercises could have been given.					
R S	11.	The course helped improve my writing.					
	12.	The RS component was well structured.					
	13.	The test on MLA and Chicago styles was useful.					
	14.	The assignment on choosing an essay from a major journal/book was useful.					
	15.	The assignment on the canonical text was useful.					
L H	16.	The skills acquired in this component will be valuable over the duration of the MPhil.					
	17.	The readings were not very difficult.					
	18.	The group discussions worked well.					
	19.	The time allocated for the presentation and discussion was adequate.					
	20.	The readings were useful.					
	21.	The weekly write-ups were useful.					
O T R	22.	The sessions should have been conducted over a two to three hour period.					
	23.	The talk on plagiarism was useful.					
	24.	The talk on publishing was helpful.					
	25.	The faculty presentations were useful					

B. Write your comments, criticisms, and/or suggestions (if any) about the various components of the Research Methodology course and about the overall course in the space provided:

Component	Comments
ARW	
RS	
LH (<i>if possible indicate which readings you found useful/not useful</i>)	
Overall:	

MPhil Research Methodology 2012
Feedback: Average of responses

The number given as the response indicate the following:

- 1: strongly agree
- 2: agree
- 3: neutral
- 4: disagree
- 5: strongly disagree

	Sl.	Statement	Response
O V E R A L L	1.	Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	2.5
	2.	The syllabus addressed all the important points.	2.71
	3.	The relative weight given to each component was appropriate.	2.56
	4.	The relative time allocated for each of the components was appropriate.	3.07
	5.	The instructions were clear and unambiguous.	2.65
A R W	6.	The ARW component was well structured.	2.27
	7.	The writing tasks assigned were appropriate.	2.52
	8.	The assignments provided adequate practice.	2.21
	9.	The comments given were useful.	2.07
	10.	More writing exercises could have been given.	3.05
R S	11.	The course helped improve my writing.	2.48
	12.	The RS component was well structured.	2.22
	13.	The test on MLA and Chicago styles was useful.	2.89
	14.	The assignment on choosing an essay from a major journal/book was useful.	2
	15.	The assignment on the canonical text was useful.	2.15
L H	16.	The skills acquired in this component will be valuable over the duration of the MPhil.	1.89
	17.	The readings were not very difficult.	3.21
	18.	The group discussions worked well.	3.86
	19.	The time allocated for the presentation and discussion was adequate.	3.60
	20.	The readings were useful.	2.10
	21.	The weekly write-ups were useful.	2.25
O T R	22.	The sessions should have been conducted over a two to three hour period.	2.67
	23.	The talk on plagiarism was useful.	1.92
	24.	The talk on publishing was helpful.	1.89
	25.	The faculty presentations were useful	1.97

Name (optional):

**MPhil Research Methodology 2013
Feedback Questionnaire**

A. Give your responses to the statements given in the table below by ticking in the appropriate cell against each statement. The following scale holds for the numbers corresponding to the responses:

1: Strongly agree

2: Agree

3: Cannot say

4: Disagree

5: Strongly disagree

	Sl.	Statement	1	2	3	4	5
O V E R A L L	1.	Overall, I am satisfied with the course.					
	2.	The syllabus addressed all the important points.					
	3.	The relative weight given to each component was appropriate.					
	4.	The relative time allocated for each of the components was appropriate.					
	5.	The instructions were clear and unambiguous.					
A R W	6.	The ARW component was well structured.					
	7.	The writing tasks assigned were appropriate.					
	8.	The assignments provided adequate practice.					
	9.	The comments given were useful.					
	10.	The course helped improve my writing.					
R S	11.	The RS component was well structured.					
	12.	The test on MLA style was useful.					
	13.	The assignment on choosing an essay from a major journal/book was useful.					
	14.	The assignment on the canonical text was useful.					
	15.	The skills acquired in this component will be valuable over the duration of the MPhil.					
L H	16.	The Dropbox facilitated group interaction and discussion.					
	17.	The classroom discussions worked well.					
	18.	The time allocated for the presentation and discussion was adequate.					
	19.	The readings were relevant.					
	20.	The weekly write-ups were useful.					
	21.	The sessions should have been conducted over a two to three hour period.					
O T R	22.	The presentation on library resources was useful					
	23.	The talk on plagiarism was relevant.					
	24.	The talk on publishing was relevant.					
	25.	The faculty presentations were relevant.					

B. Write your comments, criticisms, and/or suggestions (if any) about the various components of the Research Methodology course and about the overall course in the space provided below:

Component	Comments
ARW	
RS	
LH	
Overall:	

Name (optional):

**MPhil Research Methodology 2015
Feedback Questionnaire**

A. Give your responses to the statements given in the table below by ticking in the appropriate cell against each statement. The following scale holds for the numbers corresponding to the responses:

1	2	3	4	5
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree

	Sl.	Statement	1	2	3	4	5
O V E R A L L	1.	Overall, I am satisfied with the course.					
	2.	The syllabus addressed all the important points.					
	3.	The relative weight given to each component was appropriate.					
	4.	The relative time allocated for each of the components was appropriate.					
	5.	The instructions were clear and unambiguous.					
A R W	6.	The ARW component was well structured.					
	7.	The writing tasks assigned were appropriate.					
	8.	The assignments provided adequate practice.					
	9.	The comments given were useful.					
	10.	The 1000 word assignment helped me improve.					
	11.	The course helped improve my writing.					
R S	12.	The RS component was well structured.					
	13.	The test on MLA style was useful.					
	14.	The assignment on choosing an essay from a major journal/book was useful.					
	15.	The assignment on the canonical text was useful.					
	16.	The skills acquired in this component will be valuable over the duration of the MPhil.					
L H	17.	The Dropbox facilitated group interaction and discussion.					
	18.	The classroom discussions worked well.					
	19.	The time allocated for the presentation and discussion was adequate.					
	20.	The readings were relevant.					
	21.	The weekly write-ups were useful.					
O T R	22.	The presentation on library resources was useful					
	23.	The talk on plagiarism was relevant.					
	24.	The talk on publishing was relevant.					
	25.	The faculty presentations were relevant.					

B. Write your comments, criticisms, and/or suggestions (if any) about the various components of the Research Methodology course and about the overall course in the space provided below:

Component	Comments
ARW	
RS	
LH	
Overall:	

Name (optional):

**MPhil Research Methodology 2015
Feedback Questionnaire**

A. Give your responses to the statements given in the table below by ticking in the appropriate cell against each statement. The following scale holds for the numbers corresponding to the responses:

1	2	3	4	5
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree

	Sl.	Statement	
O V E R A L L	1.	Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	2.2
	2.	The syllabus addressed all the important points.	2.5
	3.	The relative weight given to each component was appropriate.	2.5
	4.	The relative time allocated for each of the components was appropriate.	2.5
	5.	The instructions were clear and unambiguous.	2.6
A R W	6.	The ARW component was well structured.	1.4
	7.	The writing tasks assigned were appropriate.	1.5
	8.	The assignments provided adequate practice.	1.5
	9.	The comments given were useful.	1.2
	10.	The 1000 word assignment helped me improve.	1.5
R S	11.	The course helped improve my writing.	1.7
	12.	The RS component was well structured.	2.4
	13.	The test on MLA style was useful.	1.7
	14.	The assignment on choosing an essay from a major journal/book was useful.	2.2
	15.	The assignment on the canonical text was useful.	2.3
L H	16.	The skills acquired in this component will be valuable over the duration of the MPhil.	1.7
	17.	The Dropbox facilitated group interaction and discussion.	3.6
	18.	The classroom discussions worked well.	2.3
	19.	The time allocated for the presentation and discussion was adequate.	2.3
	20.	The readings were relevant.	2.5
O T R	21.	The weekly write-ups were useful.	2.7
	22.	The presentation on library resources was useful	2.1
	23.	The talk on plagiarism was relevant.	1.5
	24.	The talk on publishing was relevant.	1.6
	25.	The faculty presentations were relevant.	1.8

B. Write your comments, criticisms, and/or suggestions (if any) about the various components of the Research Methodology course and about the overall course in the space provided below:

Component	Comments
ARW	
RS	
LH	
Overall:	

Name (optional):

**MPhil Research Methodology 2016
Feedback Questionnaire**

A. Give your responses to the statements given in the table below by ticking in the appropriate cell against each statement. The following scale holds for the numbers corresponding to the responses:

1	2	3	4	5
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree

	Sl.	Statement	1	2	3	4	5
O V R A L L	1.	Overall, I am satisfied with the course.					
	2.	The syllabus addressed all the important points.					
	3.	The relative weight given to each component was appropriate.					
	4.	The relative time allocated for each of the components was appropriate.					
A R W	5.	The ARW component was well structured.					
	6.	The writing tasks in class were relevant and useful.					
	7.	The 1500 word assignment was useful.					
	8.	The comments given were useful.					
R S	9.	The RS component was well structured.					
	10.	The assignment on choosing an essay from a major journal/book was useful.					
	11.	The assignment on the canonical text was useful.					
	12.	The skills acquired in this component will be valuable over the duration of the MPhil.					
L H	13.	The LH component was well structured.					
	14.	The readings were relevant.					
	15.	The classroom discussions worked well.					
	16.	The time allocated for the presentation and discussion was adequate.					
O T R	17.	The presentation on library resources was useful.					
	18.	The talk on plagiarism was relevant.					
	19.	The talk on publishing was relevant.					
	20.	The faculty presentations were relevant and useful.					

B. Tick the appropriate answer:

Would like to do some extra writing tasks in the next semester to improve your writing?

YES

NO

C. Write your comments, criticisms, and/or suggestions (if any) about the various components of the Research Methodology course and about the overall course in the space provided below:

Component	Comments
ARW	
RS	
LH	
Overall:	

***** Thank You *****

Name (optional):

**MPhil Research Methodology 2016
Feedback Questionnaire**

A. Give your responses to the statements given in the table below by ticking in the appropriate cell against each statement. The following scale holds for the numbers corresponding to the responses:

1	2	3	4	5
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree

	Sl.	Statement	Score
O V R A L L	1.	Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	1.9
	2.	The syllabus addressed all the important points.	2.2
	3.	The relative weight given to each component was appropriate.	2.3
	4.	The relative time allocated for each of the components was appropriate.	2.5
A R W	5.	The ARW component was well structured.	1.5
	6.	The writing tasks in class were relevant and useful.	1.5
	7.	The 1500 word assignment was useful.	1.5
	8.	The comments given were useful.	1.3
R S	9.	The RS component was well structured.	2.4
	10.	The assignment on choosing an essay from a major journal/book was useful.	1.9
	11.	The assignment on the canonical text was useful.	1.9
	12.	The skills acquired in this component will be valuable over the duration of the MPhil.	1.7
L H	13.	The LH component was well structured.	2.7
	14.	The readings were relevant.	2.2
	15.	The classroom discussions worked well.	2.5
	16.	The time allocated for the presentation and discussion was adequate.	2.5
O T R	17.	The presentation on library resources was useful.	2.1
	18.	The talk on plagiarism was relevant.	1.8
	19.	The talk on publishing was relevant.	1.7
	20.	The faculty presentations were relevant and useful.	1.6